

ģ

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,073	03/16/2001	Lee Codel Lawson Tarbotton	00.164.01	5551
7590 01/18/2007 Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O.Box 721120 San Jose, CA 95172-1120			EXAMINER SIMITOSKI, MICHAEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
				_
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/18/2007	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination TARBOTTON ET AL.		
1 124111 FB/76 13114 14111 80618 78411 80613 FB/F 1886 1346	Michael Simitoski	Art Unit		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief R	equest for Review filed Dec. 12, 2006.
 Improper Request – The Request reason(s): 	is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
Ine request does not include rea	en filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. sons why a review is appropriate. led with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
The time period for filing a response cont the mail date of the last Office communic	tinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
is required to submit an appeal brief in ac brief will be reset to be one month from n running from the receipt of the notice of a	rails and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been all because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal nailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the s Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-8, 10-16, 1 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	<u>18-22, 24-30, 32-36</u> and 38-46.
 Allowable application – A confere Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on applicant at this time. 	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conferent action will be mailed. No further action is	ce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn ap d a new Office required by applicant at this time.

All participants:
(1) Michael Simitoski.
(2) Chris Revak.